CHHOK.

(1) (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3315 /2003

PETITIONER : Kausalya Yadu,
W/O Late Rajendra Kumar Yadu
Aged about 35 years,
R/O Behind Shitla Mandir,
New Colony, Changorabhata,
Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1) State of Chhattisgarh
Through : The Secretary,
Education Department,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur,
Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

2) State of M.P.
Through : The Secretary,
Education Department, Vallabh
Bhawan, Bhopal, Distt. Bhopal
(Madhya Pradesh)

3) The Block Education Officer,
Dharsinwa, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

4) The Joint Director,
Lok Shikshan Sanchalnalaya, Education
Department, Raipur Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

5) District Education Officer,
Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY.
13-10
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

P. R. No. 2162/03
Presented by Shri Vinod Lal Shrivastava
dated 13.10.03

Contd....2

(4)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(34)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP. 3315/2003 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	5-12-2003 Shri P.K. Patel, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Advocate takes notice for respondent No.1. Heard both the counsel. The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner is a wife of late Rajendra Kumar Yadu who was working as peon in the department of School Education, died in harness on 10-1-2000. The petitioner being wife of late Rajendra Kumar Yadu claiming compassionate appointment as she has no other source of income. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner made a request for compassionate appointment in the year 2000 and the petitioner is not being considered for the compassionate appointment, whereas she is eligible for the appointment on compassionate ground. Counsel further submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation before respondent No.1 to which the learned counsel for respondent No.1 has no objection. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation with an application for compassionate appointment raising all her grievances to respondent	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

85

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Barwel	No.1 within a period 15 days from today and in turn respondent No.1 will consider the representation of the petitioner and after considering all her grievances shall pass reasoned order in an objective manner within a period 3 months from the date of receipt of the representation. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge	